

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/697,616	10/30/2003	Paul Grady Russell	10013555-4	7342
7590 04/06/2004			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			DURAND, PAUL R	
Intellectual Proj	perty Administration			
P. O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			3721	

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Community	10/697,616	RUSSELL, PAUL GRADY			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Paul Durand	3721			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed swill be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on) Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 11-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 11-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 30 October 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		**			
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/697,616

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes." etc.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the language of the claim is written in claim form. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 11,12-15 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perbet et al (US 4,915,231) in view of Adams (GB 2 265 885).

In regard to claims 11 and 15, Perbet discloses the invention substantially as claimed including product 3, backing material 4, coated with synthetic material 6, which

Application/Control Number: 10/697,616

Art Unit: 3721

replaced by flexible plastic material 7 and 8 (see Figs.1-3 and C4,L4-29). What Perbet does not disclose is the use of an adhesive layer on the backing material to hold the objects. However, Adams teaches that it old and well known in the art of packaging to provide an adhesive layer (no number given, but indicated by dashes), that is applied to the packaging backing 10 and contacts objects for the purpose of holding the objects in a stationary position (see Figs. 4,6,15 and C8,L34-43). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have replaced the pliable backing with synthetic material of Perbet with an adhesive layer as taught by Adams for the purpose of holding the objects in a stationary position.

In regard to claim 12 Perbet discloses the invention substantially as claimed including cardboard backing 4 that is rigid and can be recycled (see C4,L7-9).

In regard to claims 13 and 14, Perbet and Adams disclose the invention substantially as claimed including an adhesive surface. However, Perbet does not specifically disclose the type of adhesive used. However, the examiner takes Official Notice that it is old and well known in the art of packaging to provide an adhesive that can be comprised of a holt melt type or a non permanent glue for the purpose of bonding a product and wrapper without causing damage to the product during removal. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the invention of Perbet with packaging adhesive comprised of a holt melt type or a non permanent glue for the purpose of bonding a product and wrapper without causing damage to the product during removal.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,616

Art Unit: 3721

In regard to claims 18-20, Perbet discloses the invention substantially as claimed including synthetic wrappers. However, Perbet does not specifically disclose the specific transparency of the material. However, the examiner takes Official Notice that it is old and well known in the art of packaging to provide packaging material film with a transparent, opaque or a combination of both for the purpose of enhancing the look of the product package. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the invention of Perbet with packaging comprised of various levels of transparency for the purpose of enhancing the look of the product package.

5. Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perbet et al in view Adams as applied to claim 11 and in further view of Saindon et al (US 5,518,559).

Perbet discloses the invention substantially as claimed including except for the use of registration marks and indicia on the package. However, Saindon teaches that it is old and well known in the art of packaging to provide a film 11 with registration marks 100 and marketing information indicia 101 for the purpose of correctly packaging and displaying an item (see Fig. 4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the invention of Perbet with the registration marks and indicia as taught by Saindon for the purpose of correctly packaging and displaying an item.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,616 Page 5

Art Unit: 3721

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Rosen, Hanford, Harrison et al, Jacob, Goodman, Cosier et al, Beer et al, Kelly, Gillio-tos et al, O'Brien et al, Morita, Porter et al, Jones, Brown, Pompei, Weder, Stockley, Sterner, Haines-Woon and Rivett et al have been cited to show art having relevant structure.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Durand whose telephone number is 703-305-4962. The examiner can normally be reached on 0730-1800, Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I Rada can be reached on 703-308-2187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul Durand March 31, 2004 Rinaldi I. Rada
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700